1	BRAD BRIAN (State Bar No. 079001)		
2	brad.brian@mto.com GREGORY J. WEINGART (State Bar No. 157997)		
3	gregory.weingart@mto.com SUSAN E. NASH (State Bar No. 101837)	<i>,</i>	
	susan.nash@mto.com		
4	E. MARTIN ESTRADA (State Bar No. 223802) martin.estrada@mto.com		
5	355 South Grand Avenue Thirty-Fifth Floor		
6	Los Ángeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100		
7	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702		
8	Attorneys for Defendant LG Electronics, Inc.		
9			
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-05944-JST (N.D. Cal)	
15		MDL No. 1917	
16	This Document Relates to:	DECLARATION OF CATHLEEN H.	
17	Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.	HARTGE IN SUPPORT OF LG	
18	Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv- 05514	ELECTRONICS, INC.'S REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL MOTIONS	
19		Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar	
20		Court: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		MDI N. 1017	
		MDL No. 1917	

DECLARATION OF CATHLEEN H. HARTGE ISO LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL MOTIONS

1	I, Cathleen H. Hartge, hereby declare:		
2	1. I am an attorney with the law firm Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP, counsel of record		
3	for Defendant LG Electronics, Inc. ("LGE"), in the above entitled action. I am licensed to practice		
4	law in the State of California. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge and, if		
5	called upon as a witness, could and would testify competently as to the matters set forth below. I		
6	submit this declaration in support of LGE's Request for Leave to File Additional Motions.		
7	2. LGE has met and conferred with Plaintiffs Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart		
8	Corporation ("Sears/Kmart") regarding its intent to file an administrative motion for leave to file		
9	additional motions pertinent to Case No. 11-cv-05514 ("LGE's Request for Leave"), in which		
10	Sears/Kmart are the only remaining plaintiffs, and LGE is the only remaining defendant.		
11	Sears/Kmart declined to stipulate to LGE's Request for Leave.		
12	3. LGE has had numerous discussions with Sears/Kmart as to the substantive relief		
13	that LGE would request in Motion Nos. 3 and 4 in LGE's Request for Leave (Motion to Require		
14	Sears/Kmart to Specify Damages Attributable to Purchases from Specific Vendors and to Clarify		
15	Damages Theories; Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Lawsuits and Settlements) in an effort to		
16	avoid requesting leave to file these two motions. LGE and Sears/Kmart are working towards		
17	stipulations that would render the relief that would be requested in those motions unnecessary.		
18	Sears/Kmart's counsel have indicated that after they have had an opportunity to confer with their		
19	clients regarding the negotiated language of those stipulations, they will inform the Court of		
20	Sears/Kmart's position on these issues by their deadline to respond to LGE's Request for Leave.		
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true		
22	and correct. Executed on the 12th day of April, 2016 in San Francisco, California.		
23			
24	By: <u>/s/ Cathleen H. Hartge</u> Cathleen H. Hartge		
25	Cathleen H. Hartge		
26			
27			

MDL No. 1917 Master No. 3:07-cv-05944-JST

28